

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 MATTHEW A I UA CRUADHLAOICH,

No. C -12-02723(EDL)

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER ADOPTING PROPOSED  
SCHEDULE**

10 v.

11 PENNY S. PRITZKER, SECRETARY, U.S.  
12 DEPARTMENT O COMMERCE,

13 Defendant.  
14 \_\_\_\_\_/

15 On November 27, 2013, the Court granted in part Plaintiff's motion to compel and found that  
16 Plaintiff's discovery requests were not unenforceable because of their untimely service. The Court  
17 ordered the parties to meet and confer and submit a joint letter to the Court regarding their proposed  
18 procedures and schedule for: Defendant's objections to Plaintiff's document requests; resolution of  
19 any disputes regarding the document requests and any related Rule 56(d) motion; possible filing of a  
20 revised motion for summary judgment; and briefing of the motion for summary judgment. (Dkt.  
21 73.) The parties submitted a joint letter on December 6, 2013, and proposed the following  
22 schedule: Defendant will respond to Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to  
23 Defendant no later than January 10, 2014. If Plaintiff does not file a motion to compel further  
24 responses, Plaintiff will file and serve his opposition to Defendant's currently pending motion for  
25 summary judgment by February 10, 2014. Defendant will then file its reply by February 24, 2014,  
26 and the Court will hold a hearing on March 11, 2014. If, however, Plaintiff believes that further  
27 responses to his discovery responses are required, the parties will meet and confer, and Plaintiff will  
28 file a motion to compel if necessary by January 27, 2014; the briefing and hearing schedule on any  
such motion will comport with the Court's local rules. If the Court grants or grants in part Plaintiff's  
motion to compel, Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment and/or

1 Plaintiff's Rule 56(d) motion will be due after Defendant produces further responses, at a time  
2 agreed by the parties and the Court. If Plaintiff's motion to compel is denied, Plaintiff's opposition  
3 to Defendant's motion for summary judgment and/or Plaintiff's Rule 56(d) motion will be due 17  
4 days after the Court's ruling denying the motion to compel. (Dkt. 74.)

5 For good cause shown, the Court adopts the schedule proposed by the parties as set forth  
6 above. To the extent the Court needs to continue any other matters in this case, it will do so at a  
7 later date.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9 Dated: December 17, 2013

  
ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE  
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW A I UA CRUADHLAOICH,

Case Number: CV12-02723 EDL

Plaintiff,

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

v.

JOHN E BRYSON et al,

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on December 17, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Matthew A. I. Ua Cruadhlaioich  
3440 Redwood Court  
Unit 2  
Castro Valley, CA 94546

Dated: December 17, 2013

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: Lisa R Clark, Deputy Clerk